

الجامعة: تكريت

الكلية: التربية للبنات

القسم: اللغة الإنجليزية

عنوان المحاضرة: الرواية ما بعد الحداثية / أوراق تعليمية

الدراسات العليا في الأدب الإنجليزي

إعداد: الأستاذة الدكتورة أروى حسن الدوري

البريد الإلكتروني الجامعي dr.arwa.hussein@tu.edu.iq

Postmodern Fiction/ Handouts

Irony

In postmodern fiction, irony functions as a dominant mode that questions truth, authority, and sincerity. It often involves saying one thing while meaning another, exposing the instability of meaning and the artificiality of narrative conventions. Postmodern irony resists grand narratives and moral certainty, frequently adopting a detached or playful tone. In metamodern fiction, irony does not disappear but is tempered by renewed sincerity. Writers oscillate between ironic distance and genuine emotional engagement, using irony without cynicism.

Fragmentation

Fragmentation refers to the disruption of linear narrative structure, coherent plot, or unified perspective. Postmodern novels often present stories in disjointed episodes, multiple viewpoints, or nonlinear timelines, reflecting the fractured nature of postmodern reality and subjectivity. Metamodern novels may also employ fragmentation, but often seek emotional or ethical coherence beneath the fractured surface.

Pastiche

Pastiche is the imitation or blending of multiple literary styles, genres, or historical modes without clear hierarchy or moral judgment. In postmodernism, it replaces parody by lacking a critical or satirical edge; instead, it celebrates surface, repetition, and intertextuality. In postmodern fiction, pastiche reflects the idea that originality is no longer possible and that all writing emerges from pre-existing texts and cultural codes. Fredric Jameson famously describes pastiche as "blank parody"; imitation without laughter or moral judgment. Metamodern pastiche often becomes affective, using stylistic borrowing to reconnect with meaning rather than merely expose artificiality. One example is Don DeLillo's novel *White Noise* Which blends academic discourse, media language, consumer culture, and philosophical reflection. The styles coexist without hierarchy, illustrating how contemporary identity is constructed through borrowed discourses. Another example is Jean Rhys's novel *Wide Sargasso Sea* which writes -back Jane Eyre by adopting and blending the Victorian novel with postcolonial and modern psychological narrative, producinga pastiche that reframes a canonical text without parody.

Self-Reflexivity (metafiction)

Self-reflexivity describes fiction that draws attention to its own constructed nature. Self-reflexivity, or metafiction, refers to fiction that consciously draws attention to its own artificiality and constructed nature. Rather than hiding the act of storytelling, metafiction exposes it, forcing readers to recognize that narratives are shaped by language, conventions, and ideological choices. Patricia Waugh defines metafiction as fiction that "self-consciously and systematically draws attention to its status as an artifact." Postmodern novels frequently interrupt the narrative to comment on storytelling, authorship, or the act of reading itself, thereby undermining realism and narrative illusion. Metamodern fiction retains self-reflexivity but often reintroduces emotional immersion, allowing readers to care even while recognizing the fictionality. One example is Kurt Vonnegut's novel *Slaughterhouse-Five* in which the author inserts himself into the narrative, admits his difficulty in writing the novel, and blurs the line between author, narrator, and character. Another example is Italo Calvino' novel *If on a winter's night a traveler.* The novel directly addresses "you" as the reader and repeatedly interrupts itself, constantly reminding the reader that they are reading a constructed text.

Historiographic Metafiction

Coined by Linda Hutcheon, historiographic metafiction refers to novels that combine historical narrative with metafictional self-awareness. These texts question the objectivity of history by presenting the past as narratively constructed, partial, and ideologically shaped. Such novels blur the line between fact and fiction, challenging authoritative historical discourse while foregrounding marginalized or alternative histories.

Intertextuality

Intertextuality denotes the presence of other texts within a literary work through quotation, allusion, rewriting, or stylistic echo. Postmodern novels rely heavily on intertextuality to demonstrate that meaning is produced relationally rather than originating from a single authorial voice. Metamodern intertextuality often seeks dialogue rather than detachment, using past texts to build continuity and resonance.

Unreliable Narration

An unreliable narrator presents a version of events that is incomplete, contradictory, or deceptive. In postmodern fiction, unreliability destabilizes truth and challenges the reader's trust in narrative authority. Metamodern novels may use unreliability to explore vulnerability, trauma, or ethical uncertainty rather than pure epistemological doubt.

Ontological Uncertainty

Postmodern fiction frequently foregrounds ontological uncertainty, questioning what is real, imagined, or textual. Multiple worlds, conflicting realities, and blurred boundaries between fiction and reality are common. Metamodern novels tend to navigate rather than celebrate uncertainty, searching for meaning within unstable ontological conditions.

Early Postmodern Fiction: Beckett, Borges, and Burroughs

In Chapter 2 of The Cambridge Introduction to Postmodern Fiction, Nicol situates Samuel Beckett, Jorge Luis Borges, and William S. Burroughs as pioneers who reflect the early emergence of postmodern literary practice. These writers, working from the mid-20th century onward, grapple with the sense that traditional modes of realistic fiction have been exhausted and that new narrative strategies are needed in a world shaped by media, mass culture, and the increasing artificiality of lived experience.Nicol frames this moment as a transition from modernism toward what would become postmodern fiction, emphasizing experimentation with form and a conscious interrogation of how fiction functions rather than simply representing reality.

Samuel Beckett's fiction, as a case in point, exemplifies postmodernism through its radical stripping down of narrative, character, and meaning. Beckett dismantles traditional plot and character development. His narratives often consist of fragmented thoughts, repetitive actions, and stalled movement, reflecting the breakdown of narrative coherence. Events do not progress meaningfully; instead, they circle around absence and failure. This fragmentation challenges the idea that fiction should offer resolution or narrative fulfillment. Moreover; Beckett's narrators are acutely aware of the impossibility of narration. They frequently comment on their inability to speak, think, or finish telling their story. In his novel

The Unnamable, the narrator repeatedly questions whether he can speak at all, foregrounding the act of narration as a problem rather than a transparent medium. This is metafiction at its most existential: fiction questioning whether fiction itself is possible. Beckett rejects the coherent, psychologically stable subject. His characters lack clear identities, histories, or motivations. This aligns with postmodern skepticism toward Enlightenment notions of the rational, unified self. Beckett's works are marked by ontological uncertainty. They blur boundaries between mind and body, self and other, presence and absence. Reality appears unstable and unknowable: Meaning is not hidden but withheld.

Jorge Luis Borges embodies postmodernism through intellectual play, intertextuality, and metafictional complexity. His novels often take the form of fictional essays, reviews, or scholarly texts. They openly reflect on authorship, reading, and textuality. In *Pierre Menard, Author of the Quixote*, he questions originality by presenting an identical text as a new work with a different meaning. Borges exposes literature as a system of repetition and reinterpretation. He constructs stories from references to real and invented books, authors, and philosophies. These references create a text made of other texts. This intertextual weaving functions as a conceptual form of pastiche, blending philosophy, theology, detective fiction, and fantasy without hierarchy. Borges rewrites history as fiction and fiction as history, often presenting false documents or alternative histories. History appears as a narrative construct rather than objective truth His works are also distinguished by ontological play and multiple realities. Labyrinths, mirrors, doubles, and infinite libraries recur in Borges's work, symbolizing unstable realities and infinite interpretation. Reality becomes textual and endlessly recursive.

William S. Burroughs represents the most aggressive and disruptive strand of postmodern fiction. Burroughs rejects linear narrative entirely. His texts are composed of discontinuous scenes, images, and *voices.* His novel *Naked Lunch* has no fixed plot and can be read in multiple sequences. Fragmentation becomes a political and aesthetic strategy. Burroughs's cut-up method physically rearranges language, exposing the materiality of text. This is extreme self-reflexivity: language is shown as something manipulated rather than naturally expressive. Burroughs employs pastiche through blending science fiction, crime writing, satire, political discourse**. T**hese genres coexist without parody or moral framing, exemplifying postmodern pastiche. Reality in Burroughs's fiction is hallucinatory,

paranoid, and unstable. There is no secure boundary between inner experience and external world. This reflects postmodern distrustof representation and authority.

Post-War Consciousness in their works:

Post-war consciousness refers to the cultural and psychological condition following World War II, marked by disillusionment with progress and reason, collapse of grand narratives (nation, heroism, history), anxiety about authority, language, and meaning, and trauma, alienation, and moral exhaustion. Beckett's post-war consciousness is expressed through absence rather than representation. His work reflects a world after catastrophe, where meaning has been eroded beyond repair. Beckett's barren landscapes, immobilized bodies, and repetitive actions suggest a world emptied of purpose. Samuel Beckett's *The Unnamable* articulates post-war consciousness not through historical reference, but through a radical collapse of voice, identity, and meaning. Written in the aftermath of World War II, the novel embodies the ethical and epistemological crisis that followed the war: a world in which language no longer guarantees truth, subjectivity is no longer stable, and narration itself becomes suspect. In *The Unnamable*, Beckett articulates post-war consciousness through the collapse of language, subjectivity, and narrative progress, transforming historical trauma into a radically self-reflexive and ontologically unstable form. Language in Beckett's post- war world no longer guarantees communication or truth. *The Unnamable* portrays speech as compulsive yet meaningless. Beckett rejects heroic narratives and moral absolutes. Survival replaces transcendence as the dominant condition. His work, in general, reflects post-war skepticism toward humanism and Enlightenment ideals.

Borges's post-war consciousness is intellectual and epistemological, shaped by a deep distrust of historical certainty. He treats history as a narrative construct rather than a stable record. This reflects post-war anxiety about propaganda, ideology, and the manipulation of truth. Although Borges rarely depicts war directly, his obsession with infinite systems, false authorities, and labyrinthine orders reflects fear of totalizing ideologies. Knowledge systems become metaphors for political domination.In a post-war world saturated with destruction and repetition, originality loses meaning.

Burroughs articulates post-war consciousness most viscerally and explicitly, especially in the context of the Cold War. He views post-war society as governed by hidden systems of control: governments, media, language, addiction. Burroughs links post-war disillusionment to bodily and psychological breakdown.

Addiction becomes a metaphor for post-war dependency and social decay. Reality in Burroughs's work is unstable, paranoid, and hallucinatory .This mirrors Cold War fear, nuclear anxiety, and the collapse of moral clarity.

Post-War Consciousness in Beckett and Vonnegut

Although Samuel Beckett's The Unnamable (1953) and Kurt Vonnegut's Slaughterhouse-Five (1969) emerge from different literary traditions and historical positions, both novels respond to the post-World War II condition by rejecting realist representation and linear historical meaning. Their post-war consciousness is not simply thematic but formally inscribed, revealing how narrative itself is reshaped by historical trauma.

Both novels share the conviction that war cannot be truthfully narrated through conventional storytelling. In *The Unnamable*, trauma is abstracted and internalized. There is no battlefield or historical reference; instead, the novel stages a mind trapped in compulsive speech, unable to arrive at meaning or silence. Trauma manifests as linguistic breakdown. In *Slaughterhouse-Five*, trauma is historically specific (the bombing of Dresden) but narratively fragmented. Billy Pilgrim's disordered experience of time reflects trauma's resistance to chronological memory.

Beckett erases historical reference to express trauma's absolute negation, while Vonnegut retains history but fractures it, showing trauma as both unforgettable and unnarratable.Language occupies a central place in both novels as a post-war problem. Beckett presents language as ethically suspect and philosophically exhausted. The narrator speaks because he must, not because speech communicates truth. Language becomes an oppressive force rather than a tool of meaning.

"I can't go on, I'll go on".

Vonnegut, by contrast, uses flat, repetitive, ironic language ("So it goes") to indicate emotional numbness and moral exhaustion rather than total linguistic collapse. Beckett's post-war consciousness leads to linguistic paralysis, while Vonnegut's leads to linguistic minimalism and irony as coping strategies. Both novels dismantle the idea of a coherent, autonomous subject. Beckett's narrator cannot establish a stable identity, body, or voice. The self dissolves into competing pronouns and narrative possibilities, reflecting a post-war collapse of humanist subjectivity. Vonnegut's Billy Pilgrim possesses an identity but lacks agency.

He is passive, acted upon by time, war, and fate. Beckett annihilates subjectivity altogether, while Vonnegutpreserves the subject only to strip it of power.

Post-war consciousness in both novels involves a crisis of historical temporality.In *The Unnamable*, time is static and circular. There is no progression, no before or after only endless continuation. *In Slaughterhouse-Five*, time is non-linear but mobile. Billy moves across moments, suggesting that trauma disrupts temporal order rather than abolishing it. Beckett represents history as dead time, while Vonnegut represents it as broken time.

Neither novel offers moral consolation or redemptive meaning. Beckett's ethics are minimalist: survival without justification. There is no moral lesson, only endurance. Vonnegut's ethics are implicit and fragile. Although the novel rejects heroism and progress, it retains a muted ethical protest against violence and mass death. Beckett's post-war ethics are post-moral, whereas Vonnegut's are anti-heroic but still humanist. Finally, both novels employ postmodern techniques not as aesthetic play but as historical necessity

Lyotard and Postmodern Fiction

In The Postmodern Condition (1984), Jean-François Lyotard defines postmodernism as "incredulity toward metanarratives." By this, he means a fundamental loss of faith in grand explanatory systems such as progress, Enlightenment reason, nationalism, humanism, and historical teleology, a loss directly linked to the political and ethical failures of the twentieth century, especially World War II. Postmodern novels echo Lyotard's views not by stating them philosophically, but by formally enacting disbelief in totalizing explanations of history, selfhood, and meaning.

Lyotard's contribution to postmodern thought is not simply the famous phrase "incredulity toward metanarratives," but a comprehensive rethinking of knowledge, history, subjectivity, and ethics after the disasters of the twentieth century. His theory emerges directly from post-war disillusionment, especially the realization that Enlightenment rationality and modern narratives of progress did not prevent Auschwitz, Hiroshima, or Dresden. Lyotard does not argue that all narratives disappear. Instead, he claims that narratives that claim universal legitimacy lose credibility.

Unlike Derrida (textuality) or Baudrillard (simulation), Lyotard directly connects knowledge to power. He anchors postmodernism in historical trauma and offers a post-war ethics of narrative restraint. This is why his theory fits Beckett and Vonnegut better than playful postmodernism. In The Unnamable, Beckett radicalizes Lyotard's incredulity by collapsing language and subjectivity themselves, while in Slaughterhouse-Five, Vonnegut applies it to history, exposing war as a failed metanarrative stripped of heroism or purpose. Both novels embody post-war consciousness by showing that after catastrophe, meaning can no longer be guaranteed by grand narratives, only tentatively sustained through fragile, local acts of narration. Beckett and Vonnegut echo Lyotard's postmodern skepticism by transforming post-war disbelief in progress and truth into fractured narrative forms that resist closure, authority, and moral certainty.